TEXT ANALYSIS CHECKLIST (Michele Catanzaro - GPC Science communication workshop)

Newsworthiness

Is it new? (Why are your writing it now?)

Is it a novel fact?

Is it important? (For my readership, not for me!)

- · Target: Is my reader a layperson or an expert?
- Space: Is it close to my reader?
- Time: Is the subject currently in the public sphere?

So what?

- · Impact or applications: in health, environment, technology, industry, economy, governance, culture, ethics...
- Past: How does it change things? What problem does it solve or pose?
- · Future: What future events can be expected?
- Actions: What actions should be done?

How solid is it?

- What is the reputation of the authors? Are they expert in the field?
- At what stage is it? (preprint, peer-reviewed, etc.)
- How strong is the evidence? Is the statistics good? Could it be reproduced?
- · Is it correlation or causation?
- · What does it explain? What not?

Effective writing

Headline, subheads, and lead

- · Does it focus on what matters more?
- Does it pick the main topic?
- Does it include the essential information? (What? Who? When? Where?
 Why? How?)
- · Is the reading easy?

Structure of the text

- Does it apply the inverted pyramid structure?
- Does it have a clear structure/sequence/narrative? (chronologic, list, etc.)
- Does it treat each issue separately or mix things? (eg. one per paragraph)

Does it keep the attention high?

Sentences and words

- · Is it accurate and factual? Does it provide fact & figures?
- Does it provide comparisons to explain numbers?
- Does it provide metaphors/anecdotes/examples to explain complex concepts?
- Does it use short sentences and avoid subordinate clauses?
- Does it use present tense and avoid passive?
- · Does it use unnecessary adjectives?
- · Does it use first person? (I, we)
- Does it use impersonal expressions? ("it is thought", "has been announced", "people say")
- Does it avoid technical jargon? Does it explain it?
- · Does it avoid rethoric, euphemisms or clichés?

Quotes

- Are they used to convey interpretation/opinion?
- · Are there too many of them?
- · Are they used to clarify or just to please the authors?